

# ORDER CONTINUOUS MAPPINGS ON KÖTHE SPACES. III

BY

C. W. MULLINS

(Communicated by Prof. A. C. Zaanen at the meeting of December 20, 1969)

## 1. Introduction

It is assumed that the reader is familiar with the results contained in MULLINS [5]. In the last section of that paper we began an investigation of the various relationships between certain classes of linear mappings from one Köthe space into another. In particular we considered the class of order continuous linear mappings, the class of order bounded linear mappings and the class of weakly continuous linear mappings. In the present paper we continue these investigations and consider also the class  $L^N(X_1, X_2)$  of linear mappings that are continuous for the topology of uniform convergence on order bounded sets (see PERESSINI [6], section I). We have found that our results hold for a larger class of spaces than Köthe spaces. For this reason we use the more general setting of partially ordered vector spaces. Our main result (Theorem 2.8) is that for a certain class of spaces, which includes the Köthe spaces, the class of (order) bounded order continuous linear mappings, the class of (order) bounded weakly continuous linear mappings and  $L^N(X_1, X_2)$  all coincide.

## 2. Linear Mappings on Partially Ordered Spaces

Let  $X$  be a partially ordered vector space over the real numbers, and let  $K$  denote the positive cone of  $X$ . We shall use  $X^\wedge$  to denote the vector space of all linear functionals on  $X$ , defining addition and scalar multiplication in the usual way. The *positive cone*  $K^\wedge$  in  $X^\wedge$  consists of those linear functionals  $\phi$  for which  $\phi(x) \geq 0$  whenever  $x \in K$ . The linear hull  $K^\wedge - K^\wedge$  of  $K^\wedge$  is denoted by  $X^+$ . A linear functional on  $X$  is *order bounded* if it maps an order bounded set in  $X$  onto a bounded set of real numbers.  $X^b$  denotes the subspace of  $X^\wedge$  consisting of all order bounded linear functionals on  $X$ . Note that  $X^+$  is always a subset of  $X^b$ . If  $X$  is a vector lattice, then  $X^+ = X^b$  and  $X^b$  is an order complete vector lattice for the partial ordering determined by the positive cone  $K^\wedge$ . It is possible for  $X^b$  to contain only the zero functional even for rather simple vector lattices. *However, throughout this paper we will insist that  $X^b$  separates the points of  $X$ .* This requirement ensures that the space  $X$  and  $X^b$  are placed in duality by the mapping

$$\langle x, \phi \rangle = \phi(x)$$

(we shall use  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  to denote both the dual system and the bilinear form associated with the dual system).

Another useful idea associated with a vector lattice  $X$  is that of a *solid* set, that is, a subset  $S$  of  $X$  such that  $u \in S$  and  $|x| \leq |u|$ ,  $x \in X$ , imply that  $x \in S$ . If a solid set  $S$  is also a subspace of  $X$ , then it is called an *ideal*. Whenever  $X$  is order complete, an ideal  $S$  is a *band* if  $A \subset S$  and  $\sup A = x \in X$  imply that  $x \in S$ .

Now, let  $Y$  be a vector space which is in duality with the space  $X$  and such that the set  $K - K$  is  $\sigma(X, Y)$ -dense in  $X$ . Then the *dual cone*  $K'$  in  $Y$ , which is defined by

$$K' = \{y \in Y : \langle x, y \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } x \in K\},$$

determines a partial ordering on  $Y$ . Let  $\mathfrak{S}_0$  denote the collection of all subsets of  $Y$  which are bounded with respect to this partial ordering. The *order bound topology* on  $X$  is the topology of uniform convergence on the elements of  $\mathfrak{S}_0$ . From the general theory of  $\mathfrak{S}$ -topologies it follows that the order bound topology on  $X$  is compatible with the linear structure of  $X$  if and only if each element of  $X$ , considered as a linear functional on  $Y$ , is order bounded (see BOURBAKI [1], Chap. III, § 3, Prop. 1). When this condition is satisfied, we shall denote the  $\mathfrak{S}_0$ -topology on  $X$  by  $o(X, Y)$ . In particular if the cone  $K$  is generating in  $X$ , that is, if  $X = K - K$ , then  $X \subset Y^b$ . Also, whenever  $Y = K' - K'$ , the polars of the elements of  $\mathfrak{S}_0$  form a  $\theta$ -neighborhood basis for the order bound topology and the class  $\{[-u, u]\}_{u \in K'}$  is a fundamental system for  $\mathfrak{S}_0$ .

Henceforth,  $\langle X_1, Y_1 \rangle$  and  $\langle X_2, Y_2 \rangle$  will denote dual systems over the real numbers.  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  will be vector lattices ordered by positive cones  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ , respectively. We will assume that the dual cones  $K_1'$  and  $K_2'$  are generating in their respective spaces  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$ . We are interested in the following classes of linear mappings from  $X_1$  into  $X_2$ :

- (i) The set  $L^b(X_1, X_2)$  of all *order bounded linear mappings*, that is, those linear mappings that map order bounded sets in  $X_1$  onto order bounded sets in  $X_2$ .
- (ii) The set  $L^+(X_1, X_2)$  of all linear mappings that can be written as the difference of two positive linear mappings from  $X_1$  into  $X_2$  (a mapping  $T$  from  $X_1$  into  $X_2$  is *positive* if  $T(K_1) \subset K_2$ ). We remark that  $L^b(X_1, X_2) = L^+(X_1, X_2)$  whenever  $X_2$  is order complete.
- (iii) The set  $L^o(X_1, X_2)$  (resp.,  $L^{so}(X_1, X_2)$ ) of all *order continuous* (resp., *order sequentially continuous*) *linear mappings*, that is, those linear mappings that map nets (resp., sequences) in  $X_1$  which order converge to zero onto nets (resp., sequences) in  $X_2$  which also order converge to zero.

- (iv) The set  $L(X_1, X_2)$  of all weakly continuous linear mappings.
- (v) The set  $L^N(X_1, X_2)$  of all linear mappings that are continuous for the order bound topologies  $o(X_1, Y_1)$  and  $o(X_2, Y_2)$ .

If  $T$  is a linear mapping from  $X_1$  into  $X_2$ , then the *adjoint*  $T'$  of  $T$  is defined by

$$\langle x, T'u \rangle = \langle Tx, u \rangle$$

where  $x \in X_1$  and  $u \in Y_2$ . It should be pointed out that  $T'$  is a mapping from  $Y_2$  into  $X_1^b$ , and if  $T \in L^b(X_1, X_2)$  then  $T'$  maps  $Y_2$  into  $X_1^b$  since  $Y_2 \subset X_2^b$ . The range of  $T'$  is a subset of  $Y_1$  if and only if  $T$  is weakly continuous.

We are now ready to give the first series of results which establishes some relationships between order bounded linear mappings, weakly continuous linear mappings and linear mappings that are continuous for the order bound topologies.

**Proposition 2.1.** *If  $Y_1$  is an ideal in  $X_1^b$  and  $T$  is a linear mapping from  $X_1$  into  $X_2$ , then*

$$T \in L^N(X_1, X_2) \Leftrightarrow T' \in L^b(Y_2, Y_1).$$

**Proof.** This result follows immediately from Theorem 4.1 in PERESSINI [6]. It is only necessary to point out that  $T$  is weakly continuous whenever  $T \in L^N(X_1, X_2)$  since, by Theorem 1.2 in [6], the topology  $o(X_1, Y_1)$  is consistent with the dual system  $\langle X_1, Y_1 \rangle$ . Q.E.D.

**Proposition 2.2.** *If  $X_2$  is order complete, then*

$$T \in L^b(X_1, X_2) \Rightarrow T' \in L^b(Y_2, X_1^b).$$

**Proof.** Let  $T \in L^b(X_1, X_2)$ . Then  $T$  can be written in the form  $T = T_1 - T_2$ , where  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are positive (and hence order bounded) linear mappings from  $X_1$  into  $X_2$ . Thus  $T' = T_1' - T_2'$ , where  $T_1'$  and  $T_2'$  are positive linear mappings from  $Y_2$  into  $X_1^b$ , so that  $T' \in L^+(Y_2, X_1^b) = L^b(Y_2, X_1^b)$ . Q.E.D.

**Proposition 2.3.** *If  $X_2$  is order complete and  $Y_1$  is a band in  $X_1^b$ , then*

$$T \in L(X_1, X_2) \cap L^b(X_1, X_2) \Rightarrow T' \in L^b(Y_2, Y_1).$$

**Proof.** Let  $T \in L(X_1, X_2) \cap L^b(X_1, X_2)$ . Then  $T' \in L^b(Y_2, X_1^b)$  so that for any bounded set  $B$  in  $Y_2$ , the set  $\{T'u : u \in B\}$  is bounded in  $X_1^b$ . Since  $T$  is weakly continuous, we have that  $T'(Y_2) \subset Y_1$ . But  $Y_1$  is a

band in  $X_1^b$ , so that the set  $\{T'u: u \in B\}$  must also be bounded in  $Y_1$ . Therefore  $T' \in L^b(Y_2, Y_1)$ . Q.E.D.

**Proposition 2.4.** *If  $X_2$  is a band in  $Y_2^b$ ,  $Y_2$  is a sublattice of  $X_2^b$ , and  $Y_1$  is an ideal in  $X_1^b$ , then*

$$T \in L^N(X_1, X_2) \Rightarrow T \in L^b(X_1, X_2).$$

**Proof.** Let  $T \in L^N(X_1, X_2)$ . By Proposition 2.1 we obtain  $T' \in L^b(Y_2, Y_1)$ . Since  $T = (T')'$  it follows from Proposition 2.3 that  $T \in L^b(X_1, X_2)$ . Q.E.D.

**Proposition 2.5.** *If  $X_2$  is a band in  $Y_2^b$ ,  $Y_2$  is a sublattice of  $X_2^b$ , and  $Y_1$  is a band in  $X_1^b$ , then*

$$T \in L^N(X_1, X_2) \Leftrightarrow T \in L(X_1, X_2) \cap L^b(X_1, X_2).$$

**Proof.** Propositions 2.1, 2.3 and 2.4. Q.E.D.

Having found conditions which ensure that  $L^N(X_1, X_2) = L(X_1, X_2) \cap L^b(X_1, X_2)$ , we turn our attention to the relationship between  $L^N(X_1, X_2)$  and  $L^o(X_1, X_2)$ . In particular, we will give conditions which imply that  $L^N(X_1, X_2) = L^o(X_1, X_2) \cap L^b(X_1, X_2)$ . In order to obtain this result we will make use of some properties of  $X^o$ , the subspace of  $X^\wedge$  consisting of all order continuous linear functionals on the vector lattice  $X$ . Hence we will first mention those properties of  $X^o$  which will be needed. A thorough examination of these ideas can be found in VULIKH ([7], Chapters VIII and IX).

If  $X^o$  is equipped with the partial ordering induced by  $K^\wedge$ , then it becomes a band in  $X^b$  (this result can also be found in LUXEMBURG and ZAAENEN [4], Note VIII, Theorem 27.2). The elements of  $X$  determine linear functionals on  $X^b$  in the obvious way and these linear functionals are order continuous, i.e.  $X \subset (X^b)^o$ . Since  $X^o$  is a band in  $X^b$ , we may also write  $X \subset (X^o)^o$ . Whenever  $X = (X^o)^o$ , we say that  $X$  is *perfect*. If  $X^o$  contains an ideal  $Y$  which separates the points of  $X$ , then it can be shown that a point  $x \in X$  is positive if and only if  $\phi(x) \geq 0$  for all positive  $\phi \in Y$  (see VULIKH [7], page 287). From this result it follows that for such a  $Y$  the positive cone  $K$  of  $X$  is weakly closed with respect to the dual system  $\langle X, Y \rangle$ . With these ideas in mind we proceed with our study of linear mappings by considering  $L^o(X_1, X_2)$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** *If  $X_1^o \subset Y_1$  and  $Y_2 \subset X_2^o$  then*

$$T \in L^o(X_1, X_2) \Rightarrow T \in L(X_1, X_2).$$

**Proof.** Let  $T \in L^o(X_1, X_2)$ . If  $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  is a net in  $X_1$  which order converges to  $\theta$ , then  $(Tx_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  order converges to  $\theta$  in  $X_2$ . Since  $Y_2 \subset X_2^o$ , for any  $w \in Y_2$  we have

$$\lim \langle x_\alpha, T'w \rangle = \lim \langle Tx_\alpha, w \rangle = 0.$$

Hence,  $T'w \in X_1^o \subset Y_1$ , so that  $T'(Y_2) \subset Y_1$ . Therefore,  $T \in L(X_1, X_2)$ . Q.E.D.

Recall that if  $X_2$  is an order complete vector lattice, then every element of  $L^b(X_1, X_2)$  can be written as the difference of two positive linear mappings from  $X_1$  into  $X_2$ . In fact,  $T = T^+ - T^-$  where  $T^+$  is defined for  $x \in K_1$  by

$$T^+x = \sup \{Tz : z \in X_1 \text{ and } \theta \leq z \leq x\}$$

and then extended to all of  $X_1$  by using  $X_1 = K_1 - K_1$ ; and,  $T^-$  is simply  $(-T)^+$ . The linear mappings  $T^+$  and  $T^-$  are called, respectively, the *positive* and *negative parts* of  $T$ . The *absolute value*  $|T|$  of  $T$  is given by  $|T| = T^+ + T^-$ .

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  $X_2$  be an order complete vector lattice for which the positive cone  $K_2$  is  $\sigma(X_2, Y_2)$ -closed, and let  $Y_1 \subset X_1^o$ . If  $T \in L^b(X_1, X_2) \cap L(X_1, X_2)$ , then  $T^+$ ,  $T^-$ ,  $T$  and  $|T|$  are all elements of  $L^o(X_1, X_2)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $T \in L^b(X_1, X_2) \cap L(X_1, X_2)$ . As remarked above, for  $x \in K_1$

$$T^+x = \sup \{Tz : z \in X_1 \text{ and } \theta \leq z \leq x\}.$$

We will show first that whenever  $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  is a net in  $K_1$  which increases to  $x_0 \in K_1$ , then  $(T^+x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  increases to  $T^+x_0$  in  $X_2$ . We set

$$y_0 = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} T^+x_\alpha.$$

Since  $T^+$  is a positive mapping, we know that  $y_0 \leq T^+x_0$ . Now, let  $z$  be any element of  $X_1$  such that  $\theta \leq z \leq x_0$ . We define a net  $(z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  which increases to  $z$  in  $X_1$  by setting  $z_\alpha = \inf \{x_\alpha, z\}$  for each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ . Since  $Y_1 \subset X_1^o$ , it follows that  $(z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  converges weakly to  $z$  in  $X_1$  so that  $(Tz_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  converges weakly to  $Tz$  in  $X_2$ . But

$$Tz_\alpha \leq T^+x_\alpha \leq y_0$$

for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ , so the weak closedness of the positive cone  $K_2$  implies that  $Tz \leq y_0$ . Since  $z$  was an arbitrary element of  $X_1$  satisfying the inequality  $\theta \leq z \leq x_0$ , we obtain  $T^+x_0 \leq y_0$ . It is an immediate consequence of the linearity of  $T^+$  that  $T^+ \in L^o(X_1, X_2)$ . Since  $T^- = (-T)^+$  it also follows that  $T^- \in L^o(X_1, X_2)$ . Therefore  $T = T^+ - T^-$  and  $|T| = T^+ + T^-$  are also elements of  $L^o(X_1, X_2)$ . Q.E.D.

The dual systems  $\langle X_1, Y_1 \rangle$  and  $\langle X_2, Y_2 \rangle$  determine weak topologies, not only on the spaces  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , but also on  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$ . Hence, we

may consider the class  $L(Y_2, Y_1)$  of all linear mappings from  $Y_2$  into  $Y_1$  that are continuous for the weak topologies  $\sigma(Y_2, X_2)$  and  $\sigma(Y_1, X_1)$ . Furthermore, if the cones  $K_1'$  and  $K_2'$  make  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$ , respectively, into vector lattices, then we can also consider the class  $L^o(Y_2, Y_1)$  of order continuous linear mappings from  $Y_2$  into  $Y_1$ . These remarks lead us to the following corollary to Proposition 2.7.

**Corollary 2.7.** *Let  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  be ideals in  $X_1^b$  and  $X_2^b$ , respectively. If  $S \in L(Y_2, Y_1) \cap L^b(Y_2, Y_1)$ , then  $S^+$ ,  $S^-$ ,  $S$  and  $|S|$  are all elements of  $L^o(Y_2, Y_1)$ .*

An important characterization of  $L^N(X_1, X_2)$  can be obtained by combining some of our previous results. We suppose, as always, that  $\langle X_1, Y_1 \rangle$  and  $\langle X_2, Y_2 \rangle$  form dual systems for the particular choices of  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$ .

**Theorem 2.8.** *If  $X_2$  is perfect,  $Y_1 = X_1^o$  and  $Y_2 = X_2^o$ , then*

$$L^N(X_1, X_2) = L^b(X_1, X_2) \cap L(X_1, X_2) = L^b(X_1, X_2) \cap L^o(X_1, X_2)$$

**Proof.** Propositions 2.5, 2.6 and 2.7. Q.E.D.

An interesting corollary to Theorem 2.8 can be obtained by considering the sublattice  $X^{so}$  of  $X^o$  consisting of all order sequentially continuous linear functionals.

**Corollary 2.8.** *In addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 2.8. we suppose that  $X_1^o = X_1^{so}$ . Then*

$$L^b(X_1, X_2) \cap L^o(X_1, X_2) = L^b(X_1, X_2) \cap L^{so}(X_1, X_2).$$

**Proof.** Since  $L^o(X_1, X_2) \subset L^{so}(X_1, X_2)$ , the result will follow from Theorem 2.8 if we show that  $L^b(X_1, X_2) \cap L^{so}(X_1, X_2) \subset L(X_1, X_2)$ . Hence, let  $T \in L^b(X_1, X_2) \cap L^{so}(X_1, X_2)$  and let  $u$  be any element of  $Y_2$ . If  $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is a sequence in  $X_1$  which order converges to  $\theta$ , then  $(Tx_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  order converges to  $\theta$  in  $X_2$ . Since  $Y_2 = X_2^o$ , we obtain

$$\lim \langle x_n, T'u \rangle = \lim \langle Tx_n, u \rangle = 0.$$

Therefore,  $T'u \in X_1^{so} \subset Y_1$  which implies that  $T \in L(X_1, X_2)$ . Q.E.D.

### 3. Köthe Function Spaces

At this point we apply our results to Köthe function spaces. The definitions and notations are those found in MULLINS [5].

Let  $(E, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a measure space possessing a cover  $\mathfrak{C}$ , and let  $[\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}]$  be the vector space of equivalence classes of  $\mathfrak{C}$ -locally integrable real-valued functions on  $E$  (the equivalence classes are obtained by identifying functions which agree almost everywhere on each  $C \in \mathfrak{C}$ ). A partial ordering is defined for elements  $[f]$  and  $[g]$  in  $[\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}]$  by:  $[f] \leq [g]$  if  $f(x) \leq g(x)$  a.e. on each  $C \in \mathfrak{C}$ . With this partial ordering  $[\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}]$  is always a  $\sigma$ -order complete

vector lattice; but, we will suppose that  $(E, \Sigma, \mu)$  and  $\mathfrak{C}$  are chosen so that  $[\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}]$  is order complete (see [5], Section 2). If  $\Gamma$  is a non-empty subset of  $[\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}]$ , its *Köthe dual*  $\Gamma^*$  is defined by

$$\Gamma^* = \{[f] \in [\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}] : \int |f(x)g(x)|d\mu(x) < \infty \text{ for all } [g] \in \Gamma\}$$

(the integral  $\int$  is obtained from the ordinary integral of  $(E, \Sigma, \mu)$  by a process analogous to the one used to obtain the essential integral in BOURBAKI ([2], Chapter 5, § 2), the sets in  $\mathfrak{C}$  playing the role of compact sets). A *Köthe function space* is a subset  $\Lambda$  of  $[\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}]$  such that  $\Lambda = \Gamma^*$  for some non-empty subset  $\Gamma$  of  $[\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}]$ . If  $\Lambda$  is equipped with the partial ordering induced by  $[\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}]$ , then  $\Lambda$  is an order complete vector sublattice. We have shown in [5] that  $\Lambda^*$  can be identified with  $\Lambda^o$  for any Köthe space  $\Lambda$  (Theorem 4.8). Moreover, if  $\mathfrak{C}$  contains a countable subcover, then  $\Lambda^o = \Lambda^{so}$ . Finally, given Köthe spaces  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$ , then  $L^{so}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) \subset \subset L^b(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$  ([5] Theorem 5.10). Hence, using the dual systems  $\langle \Lambda_1, \Lambda_1^* \rangle$  and  $\langle \Lambda_2, \Lambda_2^* \rangle$ , we obtain the following consequences to Theorem 2.8 and Corollary 2.8.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $(E, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a measure space and let  $\mathfrak{C}$  be a cover for which  $[\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}]$  is order complete. Then, for any Köthe spaces  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$  in  $[\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}]$ , we have*

$$L^N(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) = L^o(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) = L^b(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) \cap L(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2).$$

*If, in addition,  $\mathfrak{C}$  contains a countable subcover, then*

$$L^o(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) = L^{so}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2).$$

*Note.* Several of the results in this paper have been established by FREMLIN [3] for Abstract Köthe Spaces (compare Theorem 4 in [3] with Propositions 2.1 through 2.5).

*University College Ibadan, Nigeria  
Dar es Salaam, Tanzania.*

#### REFERENCES

1. BOURBAKI, N., Espaces Vectoriels Topologiques. Act. Sci. Industr. 1229 (1955).
2. ———, Integration. Act. Sci. Industr. 1244 (1956).
3. FREMLIN, D. H., Abstract Köthe Spaces, III. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 63, 957–962 (1967).
4. LUXEMBURG, W. A. J. and A. C. Zaanen, Notes on Banach Function Spaces, Proc. Acad. Sci. Amsterdam; Note VI. A66, 655–668 (1963), Note VIII, A67, 104–119 (1964).
5. MULLINS, C. W., Order Continuous Mappings on Köthe Spaces, I and II. Proc. Acad. Sci. Amsterdam; A70, 405–421 (1967).
6. PERESSINI, A. L., On Topologies in Ordered Vector Spaces. Math. Ann. 144, 199–223 (1961).
7. VULIKH, B. Z., Introduction to the Theory of Partially Ordered Spaces. Gosudarstr. Izdat. Physic-Math. Lit., Moscow 1961 (Russian); English translation, Groningen 1967.